Case 2:33-av-00001

Document 1666-5

Filed 08/07/2007

Page 1 of 14

Peter J. Torcicollo, Esq.
Anthony M. Gruppuso, Esq.
GIBBONS P.C.
One Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102-5310
(973) 596-4500

Attorneys for Honeywell International, Inc.

CLOSED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE MATTER OF THE ARBITRATION BETWEEN HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Petitioner,

and

INTMETALS TRADING LIMITED, NICHOLAS ACHTERBERG, and IAN ROSS,

Respondents.

Civil A. No. <u>07-3713 (FS</u>H)

FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, petitioner Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell") commenced an arbitration with the American Arbitration Association against respondents Intmetals Trading Limited ("ITL"), Nicholas Achterberg, and Ian Ross (collectively, "Respondents") and others; and

WHEREAS, in that arbitration, Honeywell asserted claims for breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing against ITL, and claims for fraud, conversion, and unjust enrichment against ITL, Achterberg and Ross, arising out of the performance of two contracts between Honeywell and ITL relating to the mining and sale of fluorspar;

Case 2:33-av-00001

Document 1666-5

Filed 08/07/2007

Page 2 of 14

WHEREAS, the arbitrator concluded that the evidence submitted by Honeywell was sufficient to support an award against Respondents on Honeywell's claims and entered on March 6, 2006 an Award of Arbitrator in favor of Honeywell (attached hereto as Exhibit A); and

WHEREAS, the arbitrator entered an Order Amending Award of Arbitrator on August 8, 2006 (attached hereto as Exhibit B and, together with the Award of Arbitrator, the "Award") that corrected a serivener's error in paragraph 8 of the Award of Arbitrator; and

WHEREAS, Respondents have failed to comply with the Award; and

WHEREAS, Honeywell has petitioned the Court for the entry of a Final Order and Judgment confirming the Award and entering judgment thereon in favor of Honeywell and against Respondents; and

WHEREAS, there being no grounds to vacate, modify, or correct the Award;

IT IS on this (O day of September 2007,

ORDERED that the Award is hereby confirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that judgment is hereby entered in favor of Honeywell on the Award, the terms of which are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

THE HONORABLE FAITH S. How LINE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JULIGE